

EPCC September 23, 2008

In attendance: Mark Juffernbruch, Rick Spellerberg, Murphy Waggoner, Julie, Summers, Walter Pearson, Ann Woldt, Ron Albrecht, Rachel Bandy, Tracy Dinesen, Gwen Schroder

New additions from SGA: Josh Brammer, Steven Lovitt and Hannah Landgraf

I. Communication Studies Proposal:

- a. CMS 351: Should it be cross listed? It was decided that there is nothing in the Cornerstone description that requires cross listing and that it also helps distribute the weight of teaching cornerstones across the college rather than limiting it to certain departments to bear the weight of the load.
- b. In regards to changing the name of a major to include the phrase "Integrated Marketing" there is a worry in the Business Administration Department that the title may be misleading because it could indicate a Marketing Degree or substantial marketing course work when in reality there are only 2 marketing courses required. The department believes that if the degree is explained sufficiently this will hopefully not be a problem.
- c. The entire proposal, along with a typo change on page 16 is approved to send to the faculty.

II. Political Science

- a. Ron Albrecht brought to the committee's attention the description of Political Science 230. The courses are applying for cornerstone 7 designations but based on the course description as it currently is written, the course does not qualify. As written it seems to qualify more for cornerstone 3. It was decided that the course probably does meet the requirements for cornerstone 7 but the description needs to be rewritten and the committee would like more information as to why they wish these courses to be granted cornerstone 7 instead of 3. A revision will be suggested to Kedron Bardwell and the proposals will be discussed when said revisions are received.

III. Math proposal for changes to the major and minor

- a. An error was found in a course number citing. The department was asked to pencil in the change on the signed document. The documentation and rationale for the changes were well thought out. The proposal was strong and the arguments solid. The changes were approved unanimously to be presented to the faculty.

#### IV. Academic Policies

##### a. Policy F

- i. After much discussion about wording and the power given to the faculty member the committee decided that in section F there needs to be an explicit reference on the appeal process and deadline for the appeal policy. This also means that Policy F should reference Policy I.

The remaining policies will be up for discussion again next meeting.